Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/508,945	SUDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Deborah Yee	1793	

	Deborah Yee	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. \[\text{\text{The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date.	of the final rejection.				
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ste forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office error may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f	filed within two months	of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,,	,		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) phow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-14, 43-46 and 48-50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment "Si: 1.2-1.95 %" was not presented in the finally rejected claims and therefore would require further search and consideration.